Investigation of sorption characteristics of technical adsorbens by dynamic methods

Andreas Möller



14.-16.09.2015

Schedule

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Experimental Setup
- 3. Specification of dynaSorb BT
- 4. Measurement capabilities
- 5. Breakthrough curves-Theory
- 6. Applications
- 7. Interpretation of Results
- 8. Examples
- 9. Summary

antachrome



1. Introduction

Importance of dynamic Methods (measurement on fixed bed)

Why is the determination of sorption characteristic with dynamic methods so important?

- Most technical separation processes by adsorption under dynamic conditions
- Different Kinetics of guest molecules can be play a key-role during separation
- In technical separation always gas mixtures are present
 - Therefore the selectivity of adsorbent is very important
- Downscaling of technical processes possible
 - Investigation of adsorbents under relevant gas velocities
 - Investigation of cycle stability of materials
- Results can better transferred into technical processes.

Questions which can be answered with dynamic experiments:

Quantachrome

Cycle stability technical usable sorption capacity Optimal gas velocity Regeneration conditions Influence of other adsorptives (Co-adsorption phenomena) Proof of suitability of different adsorbens

1. Introduction

Static Methods versus dynamic Methods

Static volumetric measurements:

- Sorption takes place in an enclosed chamber
- Pressure is recorded over time
- Single component only by now. Measurement of mixtures not possible with commercial instruments. Mixture adsorption difficult.

Standard characterization (BET, pore volume, pure component isotherms ...)

Dynamic method:

- Sorption takes place in open system.
- Pressure is constant.
- Outlet composition is recorded over time.
- Mixtures only. Measurement of single components hardly possible.
- Downscaling of technical processes possible
 - Investigation of technical relevant parameters (selectivity, sorption kinetics, regeneration conditions ...)





Automated physisorption analyzer, capable of:

- **Dynamic** flow adsorption, desorption
- Capable of high gas flow rates up to 40 L/min
- Determination of breakthrough curves
- Investigation of kinetic performance of adsorbents
- Investigation of **co-adsorption** and displacement phenomena
- Determination of sorption selectivities
- Automated built-in gas mixing with high precision mass flow controllers
- Downscaling of technical separation processes





2. Experimental Setup Visible Parts of dynaSorb BT





Adsorbers available:

~150 cm³ column with 4 temperature sensors
 ~ 5 cm³ column with 1 temperature sensor

Flow Chart of the Setup - Overview





Flow Chart of the Setup – Dosing Unit





Flow Chart of the Setup – Adsorber Unit





Flow Chart of the Setup – Pressure regulation and Detector Unit





3. Specifications & Options Description • Fully automated control

Fully automated control via PC (USB)

- Sequential adsorption and desorption experiments
- Built-in sample preparation station (in situ), heating up to 400 °C
- Possibility of fully automated counter current gas flow
- Automated regulation of column pressure up to **10 bar** via PC
- Up to 4 high precision mass flow controllers (MFCs)
- Automated **built-in gas mixing** with high precision mass flow controllers
- Possibility of bypass-measurements (measurement of inlet gas composition)
- Preconditioning of inlet gas and tempering of adsorber by laboratory circulating bath
- Determination of heat profiles in the column with up to four temperature sensors
- Monitoring of pressure drop of the column with differential pressure sensor
- Monitoring of gas composition by **TCD** at the outlet
- Optional gas analysis via interfaced Mass Spectrometer
- Safety guard **sensor for flammable gases** for automatic shut down
- Enhanced Safety by intelligent illuminated workspace



4. Measurement capabilities Principle of Measurement

- Not all dynamic experiments are
- Not all dynamic experiments are breakthrough experiments!
- Requirement: Fixed Adsorber Bed \rightarrow gas must pass the sample.
- Comparable to **gas chromatography** but gas feed has not a pulse function but a **step function**.
- What is the result of a breakthrough experiment?
 - **Time** until 5 %, 50 % ,..., 100 % breakthrough
 - Simplified Integral I in min/g (sufficient for production control)
 - Differential loading of a gas on the adsorbent in mmol/g (with and without further assumptions)
 - Breakthrough curve that can be used in dynaSim for parametric studies and kinetic evaluation





4. Measurement capabilities

Different experiments with dynaSorb BT and their results:

Breakthrough time, Mass Transfer Competitive Adsorption, Displacement Equilibrium loading, isotherms





Quantachrome

INSTRUMENTS



time

PSA- Process, down scaling





time

13

Determination of Breakthrough Curves



- Pressurization with auxiliary gas before measurement to desired pressure
- Dosing of a well-defined gas mixture as a "step function" at t_{Start}=0
- Dosing with constant gas velocity and inlet composition during experiment
 - Time resolved observation of outlet concentration
 - Time resolved observation of temperature at different locations



Determination of Breakthrough Curves



- Pressurization with auxiliary gas before measurement to desired pressure
- Dosing of a well-defined gas mixture as a "step function" at t_{Start}=0
- Dosing with constant gas velocity and inlet composition during experiment
 - Time resolved observation of outlet concentration
 - Time resolved observation of temperature at different locations



Determination of Breakthrough Curves



- Pressurization with auxiliary gas before measurement to desired pressure
- Dosing of a well-defined gas mixture as a "step function" at t_{Start}=0
- Dosing with constant gas velocity and inlet composition during experiment
 - Time resolved observation of outlet concentration
 - Time resolved observation of temperature at different locations



Determination of Breakthrough Curves



- Pressurization with auxiliary gas before measurement to desired pressure
- Dosing of a well-defined gas mixture as a "step function" at t_{Start}=0
- Dosing with constant gas velocity and inlet composition during experiment
 - Time resolved observation of outlet concentration
 - Time resolved observation of temperature at different locations



Determination of Breakthrough Curves



- Pressurization with auxiliary gas before measurement to desired pressure
- Dosing of a well-defined gas mixture as a "step function" at t_{Start}=0
- Dosing with constant gas velocity and inlet composition during experiment
 - Time resolved observation of outlet concentration
 - Time resolved observation of temperature at different locations



6. Applications General Applications

- Technical Adsorbents
- Material Research & Development
- Chemical Engineering
- Energy Storage
- Gas Storage
- Separation Technologies
- Environmental
- Selectivity Studies









6. Applications

Specific Applications – Pressure Swing Adsorption

PSA-processes were often used in technical plants due to high energy efficiency:

	Separation	Adsorptives	Material	Purpose	
	CO ₂ from Air	CO ₂	Zeolites	 CO₂ -removal before cryogenic air separation N₂ -production for inerting (food, steel industry) 	
	Air	O ₂	CMS ¹⁾		
	Air	N ₂ , O ₂	Zeolites	O ₂ -production, enrichment (local supply, medicine)	
	H ₂ from steam reformer	CH ₄ , CO, CO ₂	AC ²⁾ , Zeolites	H ₂ -purification of dry production gas from steam reformer	
	CO ₂ from Biogas	CO ₂	CMS ¹⁾	CO ₂ -removal from biogas (upgrading to biomethane)	
	H ₂ S from Biogas	H_2S	Impreg. AC ^{2,3)}	Biogas fine cleaning	
	Natural Gas	C _x H _Y (x>1)	Silica gel	Decrease of dew point by removal higher hydrocarbons	
Qu	Jantachrome			 ¹⁾ CMS Carbon Molecular Sieve ²⁾ AC Activated Carbon ³⁾ Note: No PSA-Process, material will not regenerate 	

...all these processes can be investigate with dynaSorb BT !

Breakthrough Curves- General

Quantachrome

INSTRUMENTS



- Zone 1 (unsaturated adsorber) → no noticeable breakthrough (production time)
- Mass Transfer Zone \rightarrow rapid increasing of outlet concentration (should be small)
- Zone 3 (saturated adsorber) \rightarrow Adsorber is in equilibrium

→ breakthrough curve implies sections which can used for different statements

concentration

Evaluation of Zone 1



time

- Area between t=t₀ and t=t₁ can be used for:
 - Determination of a technical usable sorption capacity
 - Can be used as benchmark for separation performance of adsorbens
 - This value gives an indication of the technical usable capacity
 - Can only be determined with dynamic method



concentration

_

Mass Transfer Zone

Quantachrome

INSTRUMENTS



- time
- Steepness of breakthrough curve (range t₁ to t_{Equilibrium}) depends on:
 - Mass Transfer coefficient, axial dispersion, shape of isotherm
 - Heat effects, heat dissipation
 - The time interval of mass transfer zone has to be minimized
 - Can only be determined with dynamic method

Mass Transfer Zone - Investigation of kinetic property (determination of k_{LDF})

Requirement: knowledge of isotherm



time

concentration

time

Breakthrough curve (experimental blue) and Simulated data (red) before fitting $(\mathbf{k}_{LDF}=1)$

Breakthrough curve (experimental blue) and Simulated data (red) after fitting (k_{LDF} =11.7)

Determination of k_{LDF} by fitting of experimental breakthrough curves with dynaSim



Comparison for different materials under same testing conditions allowed statements of the kinetic performance

Evaluation of Zone 3



time

- Area between t=t₀ and t=t_{Equilibrium} can be used for:
 - Determination of saturation capacity
 - By assuming of thermodynamic controlled system

Measurement of pure component isotherms and mixtures possible



Determination of pure component and mixture isotherms

6000

time t / s

9000

12000

Dynamic Methods work with mixtures:

- Using Helium as one component and assuming that it is not adsorbed allows measurement of pure component isotherms (Flowmeter Option is recommended)
- Using other gases than Helium yields in mixture isotherms (Flowmeter Option is recommended)

100

80





Investigation of co-adsorption and displacement phenomena (I)

Example:

- 3 component mixture: CO₂/CH₄/He
- Different breakthrough times
- Weakly adsorbed component (CH₄) is displaced by stronger adsorbed component (CO₂)

→ partial desorption

 \rightarrow role up effect





Investigation of co-adsorption and displacement phenomena (II)

Example:

- 3 component mixture: CO₂/CH₄/He
- Different breakthrough times
- Weakly adsorbed component (CH₄) is displaced by stronger adsorbed component (CO₂)
 - → partial desorption
 - → role up effect





Emulation of PSA-processes

dynaSorb BT can emulate PSA processes with one Adsorber by applying Sequences

- 1. Pressurize Adsorber with inert gas (or product gas) to e.g. 3 bar
- 2. Apply Feed gas and pressurize to 5 bar.
- 3. Automatically stop adsorption at e.g. 5 % breakthrough
- 4. Depressurize to 1 bar and purge with inert (or product) gas.





Investigation of cycle stability of new materials

Similar to PSA process, but

- Wait for stationary conditions at 100 % Breakthrough (i.e. at 5 bar)
- Regeneration/Desorption at elevated or atmospheric pressure.
- Integration will give adsorbed and desorbed amount





8. Examples for breakthrough experiments CO₂-removal from CH₄ rich gas mixtures (biogas)

- Utilization of kinetic-steric separation effect on Carbon Molecular Sieves (CMS)
- Thermodynamic selectivity and comparison of BET surfaces of such materials without kinetic information leads to significant misinterpretation



Thermodynamic selectivity in range of 3 for CO₂ (calc. with IAST and Toth-equation)



8. Examples for breakthrough experiments CO₂-removal from CH₄ rich gas mixtures (biogas)

Spontaneous breakthrough for CH₄, system is not under thermodynamic control for CH₄, for CO₂ breakthrough is determined by sorption capacity



Breakthrough curves of CO₂ on CMS

Spontaneous breakthrough of CH₄ on CMS

- Conditions: 1000 ml/min total flow at 4 bar total pressure
 - calculated effective selectivity: > 30
 - Input values: k_{LDF}-values for CO₂ (1.2 min⁻¹) and CH₄ (0,00115 min⁻¹), sorption capacities of CO₂ and CH₄

٠

8. Examples for breakthrough measurements CO₂-removal from CH₄ rich gas mixtures (biogas)

breakthrough behavior for complete thermodynamic controlled system (blue and red curve) and kinetic controlled breakthrough for CH₄ (green and red curve), measured data (black curves)



Breakthrough curve of CO_2 / CH_4 mixture in He on CMS

Quantachrome

INSTRUMENTS

Breakthrough curve of $\mathrm{CO}_2\,/\mathrm{CH}_4$ mixture in He on CMS

- Consideration of BET-surfaces and isotherms is not enough for interpretation of separation efficiency under process near conditions.
 - Dynamic measurements are very helpful for overcome such problems!

8. Examples for breakthrough experiments CO₂-removal from CH₄ rich gas mixtures

Investigation of separation performance of classical Activated Carbon



CO₂-isotherms on AC

 CH_4 -isotherms on AC

• Thermodynamic selectivity in range of 5 for CO₂ (calc. with IAST and Toth-equation)



8. Examples for breakthrough experiments

- CO₂-removal from CH₄ rich gas mixtures
- breakthrough for CH₄ and CO₂ according their sorption capacities, whole system is under thermodynamic control



Breakthrough curves of CH_4/CO_2 mixture in He on AC (15 % CH_4 , 5 % CO_2)

- Conditions: 2500 ml/min total flow at 5 bar total pressure
- Classical AC not increasing of separation performance by kinetics
 Selectivity not high enough for economic separation !

35



8. Examples for breakthrough experiments CO₂-removal from CH₄ rich gas mixtures

• Investigation of separation performance of Zeolite 13 X



 CO_2 -isotherms on 13X

 CH_4 -isotherms on 13X

- Thermodynamic selectivity in range of 300 for CO₂ (calc. with IAST and Toth-equation)
 - High thermodynamic selectivity due to strong CO₂-interaction (steep isotherms)



8. Examples for breakthrough experiments CO₂-removal from CH₄ rich gas mixtures

Breakthrough curves for CH₄ and CO₂ reflect the high thermodynamic selectivity



Breakthrough curves of CH₄/CO₂ mixture in He on Zeolite 13X (15 % CH₄, 5 % CO₂)

- Conditions: 2500 ml/min total flow at 5 bar total pressure
- Zeolite 13X show a very high separation performance
 - Very high selectivity enables applications for fine cleaning of such gas mixtures
 - Material should use only with dry gas flows due to high affinity to water



•

8. Examples for breakthrough measurements

 CO_2 -removal from CH_4 – results from dynamic experiments

5	Material	Separation Effect	Separation Performance	Possible Application
	Carbon Molecular Sieve (CMS)	Kinetic-Steric	Good separation, advantage of easy regeneration, insensible regarding water	Biogas refining
	Activated carbon (AC)	thermodynamic	Ineffective separation	No application for CO_2 removal from CH_4
	Zeolite 13X	thermodynamic	Very good separation, regeneration more difficult compared to CMS, sensible regarding Water	Fine purification of dry gas flows, production of high purity gases



Dynamic measurements allows direct comparison of separation performance without knowledge of isotherms!

9. Summary

The **dynaSorb BT** is an unique setup which allows:

- Dynamic fully automatic flow adsorption, desorption experiments
- Determination and evaluation of breakthrough curves under process relevant conditions
- Investigation of selectivity performance of materials up to 10 bar
- Downscaling of technical separation processes

Experiments with dynaSorb BT serve the evaluation of technical adsorbents,





are useful for investigations to improve gas separation processes and

can help for parametric and feasibility studies.



Thank you for your attention!

Literature:

- [1] C. A. Grande, R. Blom, A. Möller, J. Möllmer, *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2013, 89, 10
- [2] M. Bastos-Neto, A. Möller, R. Staudt, J. Böhm, R. Gläser, Separation and Purification Technology 2011, 77, 251
- [3] M. Bastos-Neto, A. Möller, R. Staudt, J. Böhm, R. Gläser, *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* 2011, 83,1-2, 1
- [4] A. Möller, M. Bastos-Neto, J. Böhm, R. Gläser, R. Staudt, *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* **2010**, 82, 9, 1
- [5] A. Möller, J. Guderian, J. Möllmer, M. Lange, J. Hofmann, R. Gläser, *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* 2013, 85, 11, 1680
- [6] A. Möller, J. Guderian, J. Möllmer, M. Lange, J. Hofmann, R. Gläser, *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* **2014**, *86*, *1-2*, 41

